

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/696,140	Applicant(s) SAMADANI, RAMIN	
	Examiner Bernard Krasnic	Art Unit 2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bernard Krasnic (Examiner).

(3) Edouard Garcia (Reg. No. 38,461).

(2) Jingge Wu (SPE).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-31.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Bernard Krasnic
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicants representative, Mr. Edouard Garcia, didn't understand how the claims were rejected based on the Final Office Action which was filed on 3/06/2007 because claims 17, and 22-27 did not have any art rejections but were still marked as rejectioned by the Examiner. Because the independent claims had been amended in the Amendment After Non-Final filed on 1/08/2007, the Examiner has agreed to do a second Final Office Action to correct this complication and therefore the Applicant will have a period of three months for reply from the mailing date of this second Final Office Action.